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Biotechnology may be a new buzz word, but it’s certainly not a new activity. In fact people have been searching for useful applications, processes or products in nature since the dawn of civilisation, when healing properties of plants were discovered and when people realised that one plant tastes better than another. Later the active ingredients responsible for these effects - e.g. salicylic acid (aspirin) and sugar - were identified, isolated, and mass produced. Nowadays we have methodologies in molecular biology that make such bioprospecting easier and faster, and also allow for a more cost efficient and environmentally sustainable mass production of active ingredients, making their benefits affordable to a larger number of people.

The marine environment with its staggering phylogenetic diversity is the least tapped bioresource for biologically active compounds, but is certain to harbour great solutions. The reason for the lack of attention awarded the marine environment by bioprospecting scientists may be partly due to difficulties of access, and partly because few researchers trained in molecular biology also have a solid background in marine science. The European Centre for Marine Biotechnology at SAMS has been developed to bridge this gap. Bringing emerging biotechnology development companies focusing on marine organisms together with an active, multidisciplinary marine research laboratory with resources such as specialised sampling equipment, expert knowledge and the largest and most diverse culture collection of algae and protozoa in Europe is thus not only a commercial project. It gives us the opportunity to make new inroads in understanding biochemical and molecular processes in marine organisms, and thereby to advance marine science.

This Newsletter focuses significantly on recent developments in marine biotechnology in Scotland, a sector carrying great hopes for regional development. I hope it particularly illuminates the many members without a clear understanding of what marine biotechnology actually is. It certainly did this for me.
marine biotechnology, and has an active programme of public outreach for example through this Newsletter and our Open Days. While I am writing this, two newly appointed Knowledge Transfer Officers are settling into their offices at Dunstaffnage, effectively doubling the number of staff previously involved with these activities at SAMS. I would like to welcome Drs Kate Rowley and Jody de Brouwer to the team!

SAMs ARDTOE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS
At the turn of the year I was delighted to welcome Drs Dave Schoeman and Ben Wilson to SAMS Ardtoe. Dave and his family have arrived from South Africa, and Ben from British Columbia, Canada, although originally from here in Scotland. Both will have a key role to play in the development of SAMS Ardtoe and in the close scientific collaboration with SAMS staff at Dunstaffnage. Dave’s work on climate impacts on marine ecosystems1 is at the forefront of understanding the operation of large marine ecosystems.

IG NOBEL PRIZE FOR DRs BOB BATTY AND BEN WILSON
Ben has collaborated with colleagues at SAMS for a number of years, resulting in the award last year of an ‘Ig Noble’ for his joint work with Dr Bob Batty at SAMS on acoustic communication in herring shoals i.e. ‘fish farts’! Although the Ig Nobel represents the lighter side of public communication of science and knowledge transfer, it serves a very real purpose of making science accessible and fun. The work is not trivial, and the presentation of the award at Harvard in the USA in November was a significant achievement for both scientists. The award was shared with Professor Lawrence Dill (Simon Fraser University, Canada), Dr Magnus Whalberg (University of Aarhus, Denmark) and Dr Hakan Westerberg (National Board of Fisheries, Sweden). Congratulations to our Ig Nobel Laureates!

A YEAR OF CONSOLIDATION AHEAD
At the start of this year, I indicated to all staff at SAMS that this was the year of consolidation. For the membership of SAMS, we are looking at the new opportunities afforded by ‘pooling’, the euphemism coined by the Scottish Higher Education Funding Council to indicate the collective and collaborative approach to international quality science across the Scottish universities. We are at the early stages of developing a marine science pool that will serve the academic community well if we can harness the considerable talent that exists across many universities. SAMS aims to play a significant role in helping this coordination, befitting this learned society with over 120 years tradition in promoting research and education in marine science for the benefit of Scotland.
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Nothing new under the sun
THE DEVELOPMENT OF MARINE BIOTECHNOLOGY IN SCOTLAND

Alasdair Munro, Top Country Development, Inverness

Marine biotechnology is poised for rapid growth. This is the view of pundits who have also pinpointed Scotland’s potential to be a leading player. The opening of the new European Centre for Marine Biotechnology at SAMS is seen as the first tangible investment in Scotland in marine biotechnology. But is this the case? Perhaps surprisingly, the answer is No. There were two earlier generations of marine biotechnology, and this helps to explain why the current reincarnation makes so much sense.

What is marine biotechnology? There are various definitions, but the most succinct is: Marine biotechnology is the use of marine organisms to provide solutions, thereby benefiting society. To achieve these solutions, it is often necessary to use other technologies such as electronics and advanced engineering; many would bring these applications into the marine biotechnology family.

But, why should Scotland be in the forefront of marine biotechnology? The most obvious reason is that Scotland is a maritime nation, with a coastline of over 11,500 miles. Some 63% of the UK continental shelf area is in Scottish waters and this area is five times greater than that of landward Scotland. There are huge, diverse resources in these seas.

HISTORY OF SCOTTISH MARINE BIOTECHNOLOGY
The first generation of marine biotechnology involved the burning of kelp to extract soda, potash and iodine. It started in 1698 and continued spasmodically for two centuries, mainly in the Outer Hebrides and Orkney. Thus it provided employment entirely in impoverished rural communities, using a renewable local resource.

The pioneering second generation started in a hut in Campbeltown in 1934. A company called Cefoil was set up to extract alginate from seaweed to make a Cellophane type wrapping. Cefoil ran three government World War 2 factories, two near Oban, and at Girvan to extract alginate for making camouflage netting. After the war, Cefoil became Alginates Industries and the uses of alginites expanded rapidly, mainly in food and pharmaceuticals. There have been changes and closures over the years, but the industry still thrives at Girvan as ISP Alginates, where it employs over 150 people in the largest plant of its kind in the world.

As we enter Scotland’s third generation of marine biotechnology, seaweed, or macroalgae, provides a common thread with the earlier generations. Macroalgae and their cousins, microalgae, are diverse and important sources for new products. The leaders for what we now understand to be marine biotechnology have been the USA and Japan, but other countries are now rapidly becoming involved. The potential for Scotland was pioneered by Heriot-Watt University which introduced the first BSc course in marine biotechnology.

THE EUROPEAN CENTRE FOR MARINE BIOTECHNOLOGY
Highlands and Islands Enterprise and SAMS began to assess the potential in 1998. What was particularly important was the promise for linking research and business start-ups. The first Scottish marine biotechnology company, Integrin Advanced Biosystems, was set up in 1999, as a spin-out from SAMS, in the former alginate plant at Barcaldine, near Oban.

The recent redevelopment of the SAMS laboratories at Dunstaffnage provided the opportunity to link research and business support in the shape of the new dedicated European Centre for Marine Biotechnology which opens this year. A state of the art building of some 1,340 square metres, it provides suites of laboratory and office space. Already it houses the national UK Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa, and its first company, Aquapharm Biodiscovery. Two further tenants are in the process of moving in.

THE CHALLENGE AHEAD
The potential of marine biotechnology on a UK scale has now been recognised. In January this year, the Marine Foresight Panel published a comprehensive review. This demonstrates the wide range of suitable marine raw materials - for example, algae, alginites, chitin and invertebrates, and the even wider range of applications which include food additives, nutritional supplements, pharmaceuticals, cosmetics and biomaterials. The strong Scottish dimension is highlighted: thirteen of the twenty-one companies so far established are Scottish, and ECMB is cited as the type of centre of excellence which should be encouraged elsewhere.

Our seas will form the basis of a new age of discovery. The goals of the Census of Marine Life state: ‘The diversity of marine life is huge and may rival that of the rain forests in the number of species found there, and, yet, our knowledge of ocean life lags far behind that of terrestrial life.’ Discoveries will undoubtedly result in a considerable expansion of marine biotechnology, with the resulting benefits of creating opportunities in rural coastal communities. But we will have to work hard to bring these benefits about in a responsible, self-sustaining way. That is the future challenge. 
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1 A study into the Prospects for Marine Biotechnology Development in the United Kingdom.
www.dti.gov.uk/pdfs/FMP_MBG_Volume_1_Final.pdf
SYNCHRONISING BACTERIAL ACTIVITIES
They may be small and apparently simple in their construction, but bacteria are a highly successful group of organisms that communicate with each other. They do so by releasing small chemical messages, similar to pheromones, in a process known as ‘quorum sensing’. These chemical messages allow bacterial populations to coordinate the behaviour of large populations of cells. When this system evolved, it empowered them to behave like large, multi-celled organisms.

We now know that quorum sensing controls many aspects of bacterial physiology including biofilm formation and antibiotic production.

As bacteria communicate to coordinate the production of bioactive compounds, we investigate the use of chemical signals to control this production.

BIOFILM BUSTERS
But marine bacteria don’t only communicate and co-operate, they also compete with one another. And as they have been trying to kill each other for almost three billion years, they have developed some pretty sophisticated strategies. One of these is the recently discovered ability of some bacteria to destroy the biofilms of their competitors. Many bacteria rely on the formation of a biofilm in order to infect other cells – which is one of the causes for their ability to colonise humans. We are studying the mechanisms of biofilm busting, which may one day help develop effective ways of preventing infections.

ARE BACTERIA STIMULATING MICROALGAE?
The UK marine biotechnology sector has enormous potential, but is currently quite small, and so it is essential for those involved to work together to raise the profile of this new strategic sector and the commercial success stories that are currently emerging. The opening of the European Centre for Marine Biotechnology in Oban is a significant milestone in the emergence of a strong UK and European presence in marine biotechnology. There are currently joint projects being developed between my group at Heriot-Watt University and Dr Frithjoff Küpper’s group at SAMS to investigate whether bacteria play a role in the release of antibiotics and toxins by microalgae.

Dr Grant Burgess is a Reader in Marine Biotechnology at Heriot-Watt University and was a participant in the steering group which oversaw the establishment of ECMB. Further information can be found at www.esmb.org.

Study BSc (Hons) Marine Science at SAMS

- because SAMS is a highly reputable, established research institute
- because we believe in small class sizes
- because SAMS is located in a stunning coastal location, and
- because you can gain hands-on experience, e.g. on our research vessels

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Marine Science Degree Team
SAMS, Dunstaffnage Marine Laboratory
Oban, Argyll PA37 1QA, UK

+44 (0) 1631 559000
email: info@sams.ac.uk
www.sams.ac.uk

Dr Grant Burgess is a Reader in Marine Biotechnology at Heriot-Watt University and was a participant in the steering group which oversaw the establishment of ECMB. Further information can be found at www.esmb.org.
Old friends?

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PHYTOPLANKTON AND HETEROTROPHIC BACTERIA

Drs David Green and Mark Hart, SAMS

The open ocean contains an estimated total of $1.2 \times 10^{29}$ bacterial cells. This equates to about 1 billion ($1 \times 10^9$) cells per litre of seawater. And it is estimated that the ocean floor contains 10 times more than the pelagic ocean. What are they all doing? This is the fundamental question being addressed by current marine microbiology.

Marine bacteria found in the water column can be broken into two broad groups: photosynthetic cyanobacteria and heterotrophic bacteria. The heterotrophic bacteria are the ‘biological pump’ responsible for biogeochemical cycling of nutrients in the marine ecosystem. Our research at SAMS deals with heterotrophic bacteria, but instead of concentrating on the great majority of bacteria that live freely in the water column, we focus on bacteria that live associated with phytoplankton. Phytoplankton are at the base of the marine food chain, and bacteria that live with these primary producers are likely to represent the first stage in the global biogeochemical cycles that supply and re-supply the world’s oceans with the nutrients that ensure continued biological production.

DIVERSITY OF PHYTOPLANKTON-ASSOCIATED BACTERIA

We are currently studying whether there are bacteria that only live with phytoplankton. For example, whether it is a random assemblage, a definable bacterial community that co-associates with one phytoplankton species, or a grouping common to algal genera or wider groups such as all diatoms or dinoflagellates.

We have found the bacterial diversity associated with several dinoflagellates, a group that includes species prone to forming harmful algal blooms, to be surprisingly conserved across both the species and the geographical divide. Marinobacter algicola (see image) for example was found to live with five different types of dinoflagellate from the UK, Spain, Canada, and Korea. We were puzzled to discover that assemblages living with coccolithophorids like Emiliania huxleyi display a remarkable similarity with those associated with dinoflagellates. This may be because dinoflagellates and coccolithophores both bloom during calmer weather periods and when nutrients become limiting, which may select for bacterial types that are adapted to these conditions.

What about bacterial consortia associated with the other main lineage of marine phytoplankton, the diatoms? We have so far only investigated one genus, the pennate diatom Pseudo-nitzschia, some species of which cause amnesic shellfish poisoning. While the same bacterial families are present, at the species level the bacteria are quite different from those found on dinoflagellates and coccolithophores.

These differences could reflect the environment, the physiology of the bacteria, the surface signals on the algal cell, or the algal excretory products. Alternatively it could be due to different evolutionary processes of different microalgal groups. By investigating more diatom species and comparing the results with those from dinoflagellates and coccolithophores, we hope ultimately to deduce how phytoplankton organize and structure their bacterial communities.

WHY BE FRIENDS WITH BACTERIA?

We are examining two questions regarding the function of different bacteria: What effects do they have on primary production? And what are their roles in the biogeochemical cycling of nutrients?

In this regard, our results and work published by others show that some bacteria are crucial to the growth of phytoplankton. We found that certain bacteria supply dinoflagellates with a ‘growth factor’, while the literature reports that bacteria can supply vitamin B12 to diatoms. We are also investigating whether bacteria aid the uptake and biological availability of iron, an essential element for phytoplankton photosynthesis.

We think that there is a long and well-established ‘friendship’ between certain members of the marine heterotrophic bacterial community and phytoplankton. As prokaryotic and eukaryotic microbes have existed together for millions of years, this may not be surprising. However, such a view represents a paradigm shift. In the past bacteria were seen as simply feeding on the products of algal photosynthesis, while we now view this relationship as being about mutual benefits. The implications of symbiotic relationships between phytoplankton and their bacteria are profound: the bacteria may affect phytoplankton species succession in the ocean, and they may insulate or exacerbate the effects of environmental change felt by the phytoplankton. Our research is still in its infancy, although the relationship may be ancient.
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< Transmission electron micrograph of Marinobacter algicola isolated from the dinoflagellate Gymnodinium catenatum. (Bar = 1 µm). © David Green, SAMS

> Fluorescence microscopy shows bacteria – here alphaproteobacteria (bright orange dots) – attached to the surface of their algal host, the dinoflagellate Ceratium furca. The pale orange oval bodies are the algal chloroplasts, the large blue sphere is its nucleus. © Mark Hart, SAMS
Smuggling a culture collection into exile

LIFE AND WORK OF MICROBIOLOGIST ERNST GEORG PRINGSHEIM (1881-1970)

Dr Anuschka Miller, SAMS

Organisms grown in culture are a fantastic resource for biotechnology. The Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa at the European Centre for Marine Biotechnology was founded by a refugee from Nazi Germany, Professor Ernst Georg Pringsheim, who had brought the founding strains in his luggage from Prague.

EARLY YEARS

Ernst Georg Pringsheim was born on 26th October 1881 near Breslau in Silesia as one of four sons of a wealthy landowner. Like his brothers he certainly was not a good pupil and had to retake four school semesters. Nevertheless all but the youngest brother, who was killed in World War I, eventually became professors.

Initially the young Pringsheim was torn between painting and botany, and spent two years in Munich taking lessons in art. But he took against the bohemian lifestyle of artists, and increasingly delved into science, especially plant physiology. He moved to Leipzig to study botany under the great physiologist Wilhelm Pfeffer. There he found his vocation and focussed intensely on his botanical studies, culminating in receiving ‘summa cum laude’ (the top grade) for his doctorate in 1904. He worked on plant nutrition, and developed the first axenic culture of Cyanobacteria. He was made professor just before the breakout of World War I, and worked on bacteriology prior to being called up at the age of 36. He hated all things military, and after his initial training served in an army hospital.

Soon after the war Pringsheim moved to the Institute for Plant Physiology at the University of Berlin in Dahlem. There, in 1920, he published an influential paper on the nutritional value of acetic acid for saprophytic flagellates. But these were difficult years that saw national insecurity, inflation, and hunger, and - for Pringsheim - divorce.

He thus happily accepted a professorship at the German part of Charles University in Prague leading an impoverished institute for plant physiology. He adored the city, appreciated his improved economic situation, and embarked for 15 years on a career focussed on improving techniques to cultivate microalgae and developing a sizeable collection. He also worked on the phylogeny of bacteria. He married a pharmacy student that had assisted him in the laboratory, Olga Zimmermann, who was to work with him for the next 30 years.

HITLER’S SHADOW

Pringsheim yearned to return to Germany, but when he was finally offered a prestigious professorship in Frankfurt in 1932, Hitler was rising, and Pringsheim, of Jewish origin (although Lutheran persuasion), could not be appointed. He thus remained in Prague until he was replaced by a Nazi late in 1938 just before the German invasion. Wisely, and supported by the London phycologist FE Fritsch, the Pringsheims went into exile in England, taking cultures from their algal collection, but leaving behind their entire belongings. The Prague collection has since become part of what is today the Culture Collection of Algae at the Laboratory of Algology in the Czech Republic.

THE CULTURE COLLECTION OF ALGAE AND PROTOZOA

For the next 15 years Pringsheim worked at the Botany School at the University of Cambridge, and developed both his research and his collection of microalgae and protozoa. In 1946 he published ‘Pure Cultures of Algae’, a valuable practical text for phycologists. His Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa survives to this day, now housed at SAMS. During these years Pringsheim worked with important students and colleagues including MR Droop (who was to bring CCAP to what is now SAMS), RC Starr (who later established what is now the Culture Collection of Algae at the University of Texas) and CB van Niels.

THE SAMMLUNG VON ALGENKULTUREN

Working five years beyond retirement age, he eventually had to vacate his laboratory at the Botany School aged 70. In 1953 he returned to Germany to work at the Botanical Institute in Göttingen. Finally receiving recognition for his work in his beloved Germany, Pringsheim developed a vibrant algal research laboratory based around a new collection of algae, developed from cultures from Cambridge, the Sammlung von Algenkulturen. The scope of his research was broad, with particular interest in the nutrition of Beggiatoa and ‘colourless algae’. He eventually retired aged 86, having published 180 titles including 4 books and having isolated 438 strains still available from the four culture collections he founded in person or through instruction and inspiration.

Pringsheim was interested in algae, protozoa and bacteria. His research focus, however, was on colourless and heterotrophic flagellates, especially Euglena gracilis. © John Day, CCAP.

In front of Pringsheim’s picture are Christine Campbell and Dr John Day from SAMS, today’s curators of the Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa that Pringsheim had started during exile in Cambridge.
Drugs from sea squirts?

ISOLATING PATELLAMIDES ONBOARD AN AUSTRALIAN RESEARCH VESSEL

Professor Marcel Jaspars, University of Aberdeen

WHY BOTHER WITH SEA SQUIRTS?

Ascidians – more illustratively known as sea squirts – are an interesting class of animals. Their adult form is that of a simple sessile hermaphroditic filter feeder while the swimming juvenile has a tadpole-like appearance with a notochord and a dorsal nerve cord that define them as chordates. Being thus related to vertebrates like ourselves suggests that ascidian biochemistry may show significant similarities with ours, but as the relationship is not close, also novel biochemical compounds and processes can be expected. Ascidians have been identified as prolific producers of biologically active compounds some of which may prove to be valuable active agents for pharmaceuticals.

We have been particularly interested in a colonial species, Lissoclinum patella, which occurs on the Great Barrier Reef and looks like green candle wax dripping from coral reefs. The green colour originates from a photosynthetic symbiont, Prochloron, which inhabits the cloacal cavity of the sea squirt host. We are investigating Lissoclinum as model organisms mostly because they contain patellamides which may act as primitive enzymes. It appears that they are synthesised by Prochloron.

Patellamides are compounds of great promise for medical developments as they are likely to find applications in the treatment of multiple-drug resistant cancers or inflammatory diseases.

MASS PRODUCTION OF COMPLEX BIOMOLECULES

One of the challenges that hampers the commercial exploitation of Lissoclinum patella as that of many other marine organisms is the difficulty of accessing significant numbers of them. Collection from the wild is ecologically dubious and could result in overexploitation. Other options to increase and facilitate their availability include aquaculture or tissue culture. In our case, however, Prochloron could not be cultured independently as it relies on its ascidian host for survival. Alternatively the bioactive compounds, once isolated and identified, could be synthesised chemically, or with molecular biological techniques. None of these methods is unproblematic. Aquaculture may be a solution where a supply of just one compound is needed. Growing single cells in tissue culture on the other hand is still very difficult because we know too little about the physiology of these simple chordates – we know more about growing complex human cells! Chemical synthesis of the more complex active sea squirt compounds would be ideal, but many of these molecules take more than 20 steps to synthesise which can be difficult to achieve on a larger scale. We are therefore using molecular biological tools to produce the compounds of interest.

We initially isolated large stretches of Prochloron DNA, and we then inserted these sections into the bacterium E coli using a ‘vector’. Now we are attempting to identify the particular colony of bacteria (from 1433!) that might contain the patellamide-coding DNA. To achieve this we are combining molecular biology and analytical chemistry techniques.

AND THE BEST: FIELDWORK ON THE GREAT BARRIER REEF

Most biotechnology research is conducted in clean laboratories in large cities, but it does not always have to be that way! As Lissoclinum patella occurs on the Great Barrier Reef, in the summer of 2004 my colleague Dr Paul Long, a molecular biologist from the London School of Pharmacy, and I teamed up with Drs Chris Battershill and Walt Dunlap from the Australian Institute of Marine Science to conduct this research aboard the AIMS Research Vessel Lady Basten on Davies Reef. This allowed us to double as SCUBA divers and collect our own organisms, which gave this work expedition a rather exciting edge! We were faced with some unusual challenges at sea, one of which was the need to transplant the guts of a chemistry and molecular biology lab to the rather limited space in a generic lab on a research vessel, a lab that rocked, yawed and pitched. Fortunately our Australian marine science colleagues - especially Walt - were veterans of many expeditions and knew exactly what to take. To us lab chemists it was unusual to say the least to heat our samples to 37°C in the confines of a vessel’s engine room and to conduct such sophisticated work as chemical extractions, chromatography, enzymic digestions and DNA extractions in such an environment. Fortunately all this work can be achieved with a limited amount of robust and reliable equipment.

This work has been supported by the Australian Institute of Marine Science, the London School of Pharmacy, the College of Physical Sciences, University of Aberdeen, the Carnegie Trust for the Universities of Scotland, The Royal Society of Edinburgh, and the Leverhulme Trust.
Cold-water corals are globally widespread. In the NE Atlantic the dominant species is Lophelia pertusa which forms orange or white colonies. This coral can grow forming small, scattered colonies or groups of colonies as those west of Shetland, or construct large reefs like those found along the Norwegian continental margin. Lophelia was unreported in the North Sea until 1999 when coral colonies were spotted on the infamously decommissioned Brent Spar platform as it was decommissioned.

My research project explores the puzzling occurrence in the North Sea. First I had to establish whether Lophelia occurrence in the North Sea is widespread or a rare exception. As the oil industry regularly examines all its platforms with remotely operated vehicles (ROVs) to check for structural integrity, I could use the recorded video surveys in my search for Lophelia. I found Lophelia on 13 platforms in the northern North Sea and thus conclude that Lophelia is common on oil and gas platforms in the northern North Sea.

In the videos I saw hundreds of round Lophelia colonies with densely packed polyps of both the white and orange variety. The majority of colonies had polyps fully expanded for feeding. But in some cases, where colonies were growing close to drilling discharge pipes, colonies were either partially or fully smothered in drill cuttings. After examining the full depth range of two platforms, Lophelia colonies were only found between depths of approximately 50 to 130 m which corresponded to depths with stable temperatures around 8°C and a salinity of 35. I could even follow the same colonies of Lophelia through time by analysing videos from 1994, 1998 and 2002 of the Tern Alpha platform. These images allowed me to calculate the corals’ average yearly growth rates - the first repeated estimate for any species of cold-water coral.

LABORATORY STUDIES

My project depends on a partnership with several oil companies who have assisted by sampling Lophelia from their platforms. ROVs can be used to scrape corals off platform legs, catch them in a net, and bring them to the surface. Lophelia samples were collected that way from the legs of six platforms. Some specimens were kept alive for experiments in our SAMS coral aquarium while others were frozen for skeletal analyses.

Experiments in the aquarium explore polyp behaviour in response to increased sedimentation rates, especially the mechanisms corals employ to clean themselves of sediment build up. The frozen coral skeletons will be used to investigate whether Lophelia can act as an archive of conditions in its ambient marine environment. As a coral grows, it secretes a calcareous skeleton where calcium can be replaced by other elements present in seawater. For this part of the project, we targeted samples of Lophelia with visual evidence of exposure to drill mucks as well as control specimens with no evidence of exposure. Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICPMS) is used to determine whether metals from drilling mucks, such as barium, cadmium, nickel, and zinc, occur in higher concentrations in corals exposed to drill muds.

DO CORALS NEED SPECIAL PROTECTION MEASURES?

Much debate has occurred about the potential impacts on cold-water corals from the oil and gas industry. In 1999, Greenpeace brought a court action against the government claiming that known coral areas newly licensed for exploration should have been considered for Special Areas of Conservation under the EU habitat directives. Although Greenpeace won the case, little research has been done to examine potential impacts from drilling activities on cold-water corals. The results from my research will not only increase our understanding of the biology of Lophelia but it will also help us understand its sensitivity to these high stress environments.
Towards a prediction of toxic blooms

FACTORS REGULATING DOMOIC ACID PRODUCTION IN PSEUDO-NITZSCHIA

Drs Keith Davidson & Johanna Fehling, SAMS

Some species of marine planktonic diatoms of the genus Pseudo-nitzschia Peragallo produce domoic acid (DA) which can accumulate in filter feeding shellfish such as mussels and scallops without much appreciable negative impact on these invertebrates. However, if we or other vertebrate predators then eat these delicacies, we might develop amnesic shellfish poisoning (ASP) through the neurotoxic action of DA. This has led to illness and death of animals and humans in various regions worldwide.

In Scottish waters, elevated DA concentrations have been recorded since 1998. Fortunately, there have been no recorded illnesses from ASP in the UK with large quantities of shellfish being eaten safely. However, this is achieved by an active monitoring program of phytoplankton and shellfish toxicity in various locations around the country. When monitoring has indicated elevated shellfish toxin levels, closures of the Scottish west coast scallop fishery have been necessary. This peaked in 1999 with the largest closure ever seen worldwide (an areas of 49,000km²), causing severe economic losses to the industry and the region’s economy as a whole.

At SAMS we have been seeking to gain a better understanding of the factors that govern the appearance and toxicity of Pseudo-nitzschia spp. in Scottish waters with the aim of minimizing future fishery closures. This work has involved the combination of a field sampling program with laboratory physiological and molecular studies of isolated Pseudo-nitzschia cells.

IDENTIFYING TOXIC PSEUDO-NITZSCHIA STRAINS

We isolated phytoplankton cells from the Firth of Lorne to establish clonal cultures of a variety of Pseudo-nitzschia species for toxicity investigations. Previously one species, Pseudo-nitzschia australis, isolated at the time of the 1999 ASP event, had been shown to produce DA toxin in Scottish waters. Our study confirmed the toxicity of P. australis but also identified Pseudo-nitzschia seriata as a local DA producer.

Identification of Pseudo-nitzschia to species level - especially discrimination between P. australis and P. seriata - is problematic. Light microscopy has insufficient resolution to discriminate between these two species. Higher resolution transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was also ambiguous on its own, as important differences in morphological fine structure existed in our cells compared to other published records. However, we managed to identify the strains of our isolated cultures unambiguously as P. australis and P. seriata by using molecular methods to amplify the internal transcribed spacer (ITS)1, 5.8S and ITS2 and the partial large subunit of the rDNA operon. Two strains of P. seriata isolated in successive years were found to have sequences identical to one another and also to the ITS and partial LSU rDNA sequences of other published P. seriata strains.

NUTRIENT LIMITATION INFLUENCES DA PRODUCTION

The stress imposed on Pseudo-nitzschia cells following exhaustion of a limiting nutrient has been implicated in DA toxin production. Not all Pseudo-nitzschia species produce DA, and for those that do, the factors that govern the amount produced and the rate of production remain poorly understood. We thus conducted controlled nutrient manipulation experiments on our isolated cultures.

As DA is an amino acid nitrogen limitation results in the repression of toxin production. A lack of dissolved inorganic phosphorus or silicon on the other hand leads to enhanced rates of toxin production. Moreover, we found that our Scottish P. seriata strain becomes significantly more toxic when it experiences silicon stress, indicating that the delicate balance of inorganic nutrients in our coastal seas is potentially critical to ASP events.

FUTURE RESEARCH CHALLENGES

Our medium term aim is to produce a mathematical model of the growth and toxin production of Pseudo-nitzschia. It is therefore necessary to determine how environmental factors other than nutrient stress influence these properties. Initial laboratory experiments have identified the importance of the duration of the period of daylight, one of the major factors in determining the seasons in high-latitude area such as Scotland. We found that photo-period influences cell growth of both toxic and non-toxic Pseudo-nitzschia species. For P. seriata total toxin and toxin per cell were also influenced by photo-period, suggesting that this parameter is a major factor governing the appearance of different Pseudo-nitzschia species throughout the year.

Such results suggest that predictions of blooms and their toxicity based on environmental conditions may indeed become possible.
Drs Tony Gutierrez and David Green, SAMS

A lot happens at surfaces. Imagine washing last night’s fish and chip pan without using a detergent, or taking a bath without soap. The water would just run off, leaving behind most of the oils and grease stuck to your pan surface, or body, as the case may be. Molecules of oil tend to stick together, and the same applies equally to molecules of water. If you have ever mixed oil and water together you know that they just don’t mix. But bring in a surfactant, and the repulsion between the two is broken, as though a new bond between old foes has formed. The molecules of oil and water now begin to meet face to face and mix in with each other. And the surface is where it all happens.

Detergents and soaps are essentially what scientists refer to as surfactants, substances that are able to interact with oils and water simultaneously and allow both to associate, so to speak. As the name suggests, surfactants act on surfaces, and it is here that they reduce the tension – i.e. surface tension – between two relatively non-mixable liquids. Lowering their surface tension at the interface between the two liquids allows the molecules of both parties to interact and mix together. But how or why does this happen? It all has to do with the intrinsic properties of the surfactant molecule: they are ‘amphiphilic’. This means that they possess both water-loving and oil-loving components on the same molecule. With this, surfactants are able to grasp a hold of oil and water molecules simultaneously, acting as glue that binds two otherwise non-miscible liquids together.

With such a useful property, it is no wonder that surfactants find application in almost every sector of modern industry, from agrichemicals, to food ingredients, textiles, construction, healthcare, pharmaceuticals, and most importantly in our household washing-up liquid and bathroom soap. But there is a problem. Most surfactants are derived synthetically from petroleum, which brings to light environmental as well as health and safety concerns.

At SAMS we are investigating new types of surfactants that are produced naturally by biological processes, i.e. biosurfactants. Using the marine environment as our platform for discovery, we are targeting particular groups of bacteria that we have identified as likely producers of novel bioemulsifiers, a type of surfactant that can mix oils with water to form emulsions like ice-cream and mayonnaise. This research began due to our interest in the ecological roles of these compounds in the ocean, specifically with marine bacteria that degrade crude oil released by accident or from natural oil or gas seeps. Hydrocarbons are toxic to most organisms, and only relatively few species of bacteria have developed the knack to make a living from oil. By producing surfactants and emulsifiers, the oils can be made more soluble, so that the bacteria can enzymatically attack the oil and use it as a food source. It’s one of nature’s very own and effective clean-up processes.

Furthermore, since surfactants sequester and act on surfaces, their accumulation at the ocean-atmosphere interface may influence the oceans’ exchange of gases with the atmosphere.

From an initial screen for novel biosurfactants, we have found four highly promising emulsifiers with properties similar to - or better than - existing commercial emulsifiers. The challenges ahead are significant because these emulsifiers don’t only have to be every bit as good as existing commercial products (which we think they are) but it must also be possible to produce them more cheaply if they are to ‘cut ice’ as commercial products, let alone, make it into ice-cream and mayonnaise!